private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new Federal requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds, Sulfur oxides.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: April 16, 1998.

#### Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.
[FR Doc. 98–11508 Filed 4–29–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 272

[FRL-6005-6]

Hazardous Waste Management Program: Final Authorization and Incorporation by Reference of State Hazardous Waste Management Program for Oklahoma

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to incorporate by reference EPA's approval of the Oklahoma Department of Environment Quality's (ODEQ) hazardous waste for Non-HSWA Cluster VI, RCRA Clusters I, II, III and IV and to approve its revisions to that program submitted by the State of Oklahoma. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's request as an immediate final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for approving the State's request is set forth in the immediate final rule. If no adverse written comments are received in response to that immediate final rule. no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse written comments, a second Federal Register notice will be published before the time the immediate final rule takes effect. The second notice may withdraw the immediate final rule or identify the issues raised, respond to the comments and affirm that the

immediate final rule will take effect as scheduled. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before June 1, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Alima Patterson, Regional 6 Authorization Coordinator, Grants and Authorization Section (6PD-G), Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, at the address listed below. Copies of the materials submitted by ODEQ may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region 6 Library, 12th Floor, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, Phone number: (214) 665-6444; or the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, 1000 Northeast Tenth Street, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, 73117-1212, Phone number: (405) 271-5338.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alima Patterson (214) 665–8533.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

For additional information see the immediate final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**. **Lynda F. Carroll**,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6. [FR Doc. 98–11386 Filed 4–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

# 50 CFR Part 226

[I.D. No. 101097A]

Designated Critical Habitat; Central California Coast and Southern Oregon/ Northern California Coast Coho Salmon

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; re-opening of comment period.

summary: NMFS is re-opening the public comment period on proposed regulations to designate critical habitat for Central California Coast and Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). These proposals were made on November 25, 1997, under provisions of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA). NMFS has received a request for additional time to complete the review and compilation of information. NMFS

finds the request to be reasonable and hereby re-opens the comment period until June 10, 1998.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed rule must be received before June 10, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to: Garth Griffin, NMFS, Protected Resources Division, 525 NE Oregon St. - Suite 500, Portland, OR 97232–2737; or Craig Wingert, NMFS, Southwest Region, Protected Species Management Division, 501 W. Ocean Blvd., Suite 4200, Long Beach, CA 90802–4213.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Garth Griffin at (503) 231–2005, Craig Wingert at (562) 980–4021, or Joe Blum at (301) 713–1401.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

On October 31, 1996, NMFS published its determination to list the Central California Coast Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) of coho salmon as threatened under the ESA (61 FR 41514). Subsequently, on May 6, 1997, NMFS published its determination to list the Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast coho salmon ESU as threatened under the ESA (62 FR 24588). On November 25, 1997 (62 FR 62741), NMFS published a proposed rule identifying critical habitat for each ESU and identified a 60-day comment period (which ended January 26, 1998) to solicit information relevant to the proposal. During the comment period, three public hearings were held between December 8-11, 1997 in Gold Beach, Oregon; Eureka, California; and Santa Rosa, California.

Requests for an extension of the public comment period were received from a California Congressional representative, as well as several county and private organizations and private citizens in northern California and southern Oregon. Reasons given for these requests included additional time required under state law to assemble county governments for a review of the proposal, and time needed to assess the scope and impact of the proposed rule. NMFS determined that the requests were reasonable and re-opened the comment period until April 26, 1998.

A request for an additional extension of the public comment period has been received from a California Congressional representative. The reason given for this request is to allow additional time for review of the potential impacts of the proposed critical habitat designation on local communities and private landowners. NMFS finds the request to be reasonable and hereby re-opens the comment period.